

5

Chemical Fixation of Chromium Bearing Dump Material

Introduction

Sodium Dichromate and Basic Chromium Sulphate were manufactured in a plant in Tamilnadu. During the manufacture, solid waste containing mainly Calcium chromate was generated. The solid waste without any treatment was piled within the plant premises. The solid waste generation was about 30 MT per day. It was estimated that approximately about 1.5 to 2.0 lakh tonnes of solid waste are accumulated. Assuming a density of 1.5 gm/cc, the volume was computed to be 1.0 lakh m³. As the dump material is spread over a large area, samples were collected at four different locations and at different depths viz., 0.0m, 0.3m, 0.6m and 0.9m. The characteristics of solid waste are given in Table 1. The total chromium varies from 8716 mg/kg to 16930 mg/kg, while the hexavalent chromium ranged between 46 mg/kg and 173 mg/kg. It is observed that the chromium concentration is more at the deeper depth i.e. at 0.9m and less at the top open face. This indicates that the chromium has leached from the top surface travelled into inner surface. The dump material Chromium bearing waste is categorised as hazardous waste as per Hazardous Waste (Management and Handling) amended Rules 2003. In general, the solid waste is of inorganic type. The waste is alkaline type due to the presence of high concentration of Calcium and pH. The Sodium Chromate is highly water-soluble while the solubility of Total Chromate (as Cr2O3) is very low. There have been reports that the wells in nearby area have been impacted due to leachate generated from the dumps. It was proposed to dispose the dump material in secure landfill to be established within the plant premises. The plant stopped its production in the year 1995. According to the Guidelines of Central Pollution Control Board permissible limits for heavy metals have been prescribed. The chromium concentration in water leaching test is 5 mg/L. As the concentration of chromium is about 138 mg/L in water leaching test, the dump material cannot be disposed directly into landfill. The dump material has to be subjected to solidification method to convert into non-leaching mass and then only can be disposed into landfill. This paper details on the Phase

1 of the studies carried out on solidification and stabilization of chromium bearing dump material.

Solidification and Stabilization

It is proposed to remove the dump material and dispose into secure landfill. The chromium concentration in water leaching test is 105 mg/L as against the permissible concentration of 5 mg/L for disposal into landfill as per Central Pollution Control Board criteria. Hence the dump material has to be subjected to solidification and stabilization as pretreatment for landfill.

Table 1. Characteristics of Dump Material

Parameter	1	2	3	4
Co	56	63	66	72
Tri Cr	8716	8867	16930	10136
Hexa Cr	57	46	173	82
Cu	304	304	304	1.3
Fe	27003	35540	34894	24138
Mg	10445	19491	20561	21613
Mn	615	729	817	819
Ni	290	331	33	314
Pb	3.3	2.5	4.2	
Zn	102	136	196	189

Note - All conc. are expressed in mg/kg

The main objective of immobilization technology is to convert the hazardous and toxic wastes into an inert, physically stable mass, with very low leachability and sufficient strength to allow for land filling or land reclamation.

Immobilization (or chemical stabilization) is a process in which the waste is converted to a more chemically stable or more insoluble or immobile form.

Solidification or cementation is a process in which the waste is converted to an insoluble rock like material by mixing with suitable material to form a solid product.

The use of solidification and stabilization (SIS) process can greatly reduce the effective concentration of waste disposed at a site and thus could be used to limit the amount of macro-encapsulation in specially designed cells of both

TNPGB - Newsletter Vol. 3, Issue 4, Oct. - Dec. 2008

5

6

Inorganic (such as arsenic) and organic wastes (such as pesticides) that are contained in sealed drums. The cell is constructed within an appropriate containment landfill and is designed to minimize the potential leakage of waste from the cell. Macro-encapsulation of inorganic, always has an important role to play but it is preferable to incinerate organic rather than encapsulate them.

Solidification and stabilization of sludge uses additives to reduce the mobility of pollutants. In many cases, lime is added for stabilizing the waste. It has gained popularity in recent years following strict regulations on land disposal of waste classified as hazardous. SIS is essentially a cost effective disposal option as compared to landfill disposal for hazardous wastes. SIS typically involves easily available and inexpensive raw materials and simple technologies.

S/S of hazardous wastes through the application of cement, clayey soil, fly ash based binders has been applied to various types of wastes containing heavy metals. (Connors, 1990). This technology is reported to be cost effective and safe for heavy metals containing solid wastes (Valls and Vazquez / 2002). A comprehensive review on SIS has been published by (Spence, 1993; Hills et al, 1993) the fundamental physico-chemical aspects of SIS has been reported Molah et al (1994). Palomo and Palacios (2003) have conducted detailed studies of S/S of chromium laden wastes using alkali-activated fly ash. Russell et al (2002) abstracted, the optimization of SIS for wastes having Cr, Pb, Zn and Li. Addition of activated carbon to the sludges containing Cr, Zn, Pb, Cu etc., have improved the SIS as shown by the low concentration of heavy metals in TCLP (Chan et al 2003). The mechanical and leaching properties of S/S for sludge containing Cr, Mn, Pb and Zinc was investigated in detail by Gervais and Ouel (2002). Wang and Vissandien (2000) studies the leaching potential of chromium laden wastes using cement as binder. Swaminathan (1998) carried out exhaustive studies on SIS for leather tannery wastes containing trivalent chromium. In this study clayey soil was added as binder with leather waste containing chromium. Leaching of chromium was least. However, on heating to convert into conventional building brick, trivalent chromium got converted into hexavalent chromium and hence the chromium was present in the leachate. Most of the studies were based on trivalent chromium. In this study attempts are made to study the efficacy of SIS

for a waste containing both types of chromium. Bench scale experiments were carried out to evaluate the efficacy of solidification and stabilization (SIS) method.

Experimental Studies Details

Admixture Selection

The main purpose of the bench scale experimental studies is to evaluate the efficacy of the solidification and stabilization technique for the dump material. Among the various admixtures available cement mortar was considered. If clay is added to the waste, it has to be fired to convert into brick as is done in conventional brick making. Some studies reported earlier with the solid waste containing trivalent chromium that after firing, the trivalent chromium gets converted into hexavalent chromium. The insoluble chromium gets converted into leachable chromium. Further, the bricks contain greenish yellow patches making the bricks not acceptable. Hence clay was not considered. Cement mortar (cement and sand) is considered in this study.

Mixing Ratio

The mixing ratio of solid waste to admixture considered in this study is as under:

In each mixing proportion, six blocks were made. The blocks are made using a mould (6 cm x 6 cm x 6 cm). Approximate quantity of dump material and cement mortar was computed to make 6 blocks in each proportion. The approximate volume was measured taking about 10 to 15% as extra to meet any contingency arising during block making. These attempts are made to obtain a homogeneous mix in

Mix No.

Volume of dump material (%)

Volume of Cement Mortar (%)

1	50	50
2	60	40
3	70	30
4	80	20
7	-	Control

Note: Mixing percent is in Volume basis.

each mixing proportion. The required quantity was measured and kept separately. The admixtures were mixed in volume basis keeping the different sludge ratio.

TNPGB - Newsletter Vol. 3, Issue 4, Oct. - Dec. 2008

6

1 / 2

Written by Administrator
Thursday, 31 December 2009 12:48 -

[illegible]